TRANSIENT RESONANCE IN A HOISTING CABLE SYSTEM WITH A PERIODIC EXCITATION

Stefan Kaczmarczyk*

(Received July 1996; Final version October 1996)

The non-stationary oscillations of slowly varying oscillatory distributed one-dimensional systems can be analysed using a combined perturbation and numerical technique. This approach is used to investigate a passage through resonance in a hoisting cable system. Due to the timevarying length of the cable the natural frequencies of the system vary slowly, and a transient resonance may occur when one of the frequencies coincides with the frequency of an external excitation at some critical time. The method of multiple scales is used to formulate a uniformly valid perturbation expansion for the response near the resonance. A system of first order ordinary differential equations for the slowly varying amplitude and phase of the response results. This system can be easily integrated numerically on a slow time scale. A model example is discussed, and it is shown that the amplitude of the oscillations remains large after the passage through resonance.

1 INTRODUCTION

Cable structures are widely used in various industries to transmit forces, to carry payloads, and to conduct signals. Perhaps one of the most significant is the application of hoisting cables to a vertical and inclined transport, especially in the mining industry. Hoisting cables, due to their flexibility, are susceptible to oscillations. Therefore, the design methodology of hoisting systems requires a thorough dynamic analysis in order to predict the dynamic loads and to evaluate the response stability during various operational modes.

The typical design of a hoisting cable system comprises a winder drum, a single cable, and conveyance. Usually in the dynamic analysis a motion of the winder drum is assumed to be prescribed through a known velocity or acceleration time profile. Therefore, in this approach, the driving system is treated as an ideal source of energy, and its dynamic behaviour is not taken into consideration. Three major types of vibration may occur in the hoisting cable, namely longitudinal, transverse, and torsional. These vibrations are caused by various sources of excitation. A load due to the winding cycle acceleration/deceleration profile is the most significant in the longitudinal transient response. A mechanism applied on the winder drum surface in order to achieve a uniform coiling pattern forms the primary source of stationary periodic excitation during the constant velocity winding phase for both the longitudinal and the transverse response. The torsional response is coupled with the longitudinal response, and occurs in triangle strand rope, which is known to respond in torsion to applied axial loads. During the wind the system parameters are changing due to the time-varying length of the cable. The rate of variation of the length is, however, slow, and the oscillations represent waves in a slowly varying domain. Hence, the hoisting cable is essentially a nonstationary oscillatory system with slowly varying frequencies and mode shapes. Therefore, a passage through resonance may occur during the wind when one of the slowly varying frequencies coincides with the frequency of the periodic excitation at some critical time instant.

The study of vibration problems in hoisting cables has attracted wide attention. Savin and Goroshko¹ analysed a motion of a hoisting cable using integro-differential equations taking into account a slip of the cable on the winder drum. Kotera² considered the longitudinal dynamics of a mining lift model and proposed a method to determine analytically a free and forced vibration response via a suitable transformation of variables. Greenway³ analysed the influence of physical parameters of a mine hoisting system on the dynamic longitudinal response using an analytical approach. Mankowski⁴ investigated the nonlinear dynamic behaviour of mine hoisting cables taking into account both longitudinal and lateral behaviour. Various mathematical models were developed, and the system was studied through an extensive computer simulation of the forced response of the system. The results of the simulation were correlated with measurements made on industrial installations. Constancon⁵ extended this study by an analytical stationary analysis of the system stability, validated by a

^{*}Senior Lecturer, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Natal, Durban

laboratory experiment. An intensive numerical simulation of a nonstationary model of the system, intended to be used as the final validation, was also performed. Kumaniecka and Niziol⁶ also investigated the longitudinaltransverse vibration of a hoisting cable. The cable material non-linearity was taken into account and unstable regions were identified by applying the harmonic balance method.

Perturbation techniques can be used to study slowly varying oscillatory systems. Mitropolsky⁷ established fundamental concepts in this field and developed an asymptotic method to analyse non-stationary oscillations in systems with slowly varying parameters. This method was further developed and modified by Agrawal and Evan-Iwanowski.^{8,9} Nayfeh¹⁰ proposed the generalized multiple scales method to deal with the problem. Kevorkian^{11,12} used the multiple scales method and averaging techniques for systems with slowly varying parameters.

The perturbation methods present a useful tool in investigation of resonances. The phenomenon of passage through resonance in a hoisting cable system, referred to as transient resonance,¹³ is studied in this paper. A general mathematical model describing vibrations of one-dimensional distributed systems with slowly varying length is presented. A simplified longitudinal model of the hoisting cable system is formulated in order to illustrate the techniques needed to analyse the passage through resonance during the constant velocity winding phase. The first order approximation of the system response is determined by a combined numerical and analytical technique. The generalized method of multiple scales is applied to represent uniformly valid perturbation expansion for the response near the resonance. This leads to a system of first order autonomous ordinary differential equations for the slowly varying amplitude and the phase of the response which is solved numerically. The response of the system is aperiodic which is demonstrated in a numerical example.

2 VIBRATIONS OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS WITH SLOWLY VARYING LENGTH

Forced small-amplitude oscillations of an elastic onedimensional distributed structure carrying concentrated inertia elements at intermediate and end points can be described by the following equation

$$\rho(s)\ddot{u}(s,t) + \mathcal{L}\left[u(s,t)\right] = F(s,t,\theta), \ s \in D, \ 0 \le t < \infty,$$
(1)

where u(s,t) is a deflection, with s denoting a spatial coordinate and t denoting time, dots designate partial derivatives with respect to time, \mathcal{L} is a linear spatial operator, F is a forcing function with a harmonic term of frequency $\dot{\theta} = \Omega$, and ρ is a mass distribution function. If the length of the system is assumed to vary slowly, the spatial domain D is time dependent and can be defined as

$$D(\tau) = \{s : l_1(\tau) < s < l_2(\tau)\}, \qquad (2)$$

where l_1 and l_2 are prescribed functions of a slow time scale $\tau = \epsilon t$, with $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. The parameters l_1 and l_2 are therefore varying slowly and the oscillations of the structure described by (1) are non-stationary.

The deflection u is subject to the following homogeneous boundary conditions

$$B[u] = 0, \ s = l_1(\tau), l_2(\tau), \tag{3}$$

where B is a linear spatial operator. The concentrated inertia elements have been accommodated in the equation of motion (1) as applied inertial loads and the mass distribution function is given as

$$\rho(s) = m + \sum_{i=1}^{p} M_i \delta(s - L_i), \qquad (4)$$

where m denotes mass per unit length of the base structure, M_i is the magnitude of the *i*th concentrated inertia element located at $s = L_i$, and δ is the Dirac delta function.

The Rayleigh-Ritz procedure can be used to analyse the response of non-stationary systems with slowly varying parameters.¹ An approximate solution to the problem defined by the system (1)-(4) can be represented by the following expansion

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Y_n(s,\tau) q_n(t), \qquad (5)$$

where q_n are generalized coordinates, and Y_n are slowly varying normal free-oscillation modes of the corresponding stationary system with the inertia elements. They are solutions of

$$\mathcal{L}\left[Y_n\left(s, l_1, l_2\right)\right] = \omega_n^2\left(l_1, l_2\right)\rho\left(s\right)Y_n\left(s, l_1, l_2\right), s \in D, \ B\left[Y_n\left(s, l_1, l_2\right)\right] = 0, \ s = l_1, l_2,$$
(6)

where ω_n are the natural frequencies of the system, and l_1 and l_2 are treated as constant parameters.

By substituting the expansion (5) into (1), multiplying the result by Y_r , integrating over the domain D, and using the boundary conditions (3) the following secondorder ordinary differential equation set for the generalized coordinates is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{q}_{r} + \omega_{r}^{2}(\tau) q_{r} &= -2\epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{2} l_{k}^{\prime} c_{rn}^{k}(\tau) \dot{q}_{n} \\ &- \epsilon^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \begin{bmatrix} l_{k}^{\prime\prime} c_{rn}^{k}(\tau) \\ + l_{k}^{\prime} d_{rn}^{k}(\tau) \end{bmatrix} q_{n} \\ &+ \Gamma_{r}(\tau, t, \theta), \quad r = 1, 2, ..., N, \end{aligned}$$

$$(7)$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to τ , and

$$c_{rn}^{k}(\tau) = \int_{D(\tau)} \rho(s) Y_{r} \frac{\partial Y_{n}}{\partial l_{k}} ds,$$

$$d_{rn}^{k}(\tau) = \int_{D(\tau)} \rho(s) Y_{r} \frac{\partial^{2} Y_{n}}{\partial l_{k}^{2}} ds,$$
(8)

and

$$\Gamma_r(\tau, t, \theta) = \int_{D(\tau)} Y_r F(s, t, \theta) \, ds. \tag{9}$$

In order to generate an approximate solution, the slowly varying oscillatory second-order system of N equations (7) can be transformed into a Hamiltonian standard form of 2N first-order differential equations using action-angle variables.¹² Later perturbation techniques, namely the method of averaging or the method of multiple scales, can be applied to determine the solution. Alternatively, these techniques can be applied directly to the second-order model. Using the method of multiple scales a first-order system can be obtained to compute the amplitudes and the phases for the first approximation of the response. In this procedure the following form of the solution is assumed¹⁴

$$q_r = \sum_{j=0}^{M} \epsilon^j q_{rj} \left(\phi_r, \tau \right) + O\left(\epsilon^{M+1} \right), \qquad (10)$$

where ϕ_r represents a fast scale and is defined as

$$\phi_r = \int_0^t \omega_r \left(\epsilon \xi\right) d\xi. \tag{11}$$

By substituting the expansion (10) into (7) and equating coefficients of the same power of ϵ , one obtains a set of differential equations for the approximations q_{rj} , $j = 0, 1, \ldots M$. These equations are solved in succession using the solvability conditions that make the expansion (10) uniform.

When a single term is taken in the expansion (5), the result is referred to as a single-mode approximation. This single-mode model can be used to investigate resonances in the system, understood as coincidence of the slowly-varying natural frequencies ω_r , with the forcing frequency Ω . This approach is applied to investigate the non-stationary oscillations of a hoisting cable.

3 DYNAMIC MODEL OF A HOISTING CABLE SYSTEM

The following model of a hoisting cable system is considered (Figure 1). A mass M representing the cable load is attached to the bottom end of a tensioned cable translating axially due to the cable being coiled onto a rotating cylindrical drum. The upper end O_1 of the cable is moving with a prescribed winding velocity v(t), and the mass M is constrained in a lateral direction. The section $l = OO_1$ represents a slowly varying length of this part of the cable that is already coiled onto the winder drum. The cable is assumed to be perfectly elastic, and has a constant effective cross-sectional area A, a constant mass per unit length m, and effective Young's modulus E.



Figure 1 Model of a hoisting cable system

Assuming that the modulus E of the cable material is high, the strain of the cable wound around the drum can be neglected,¹ and the length l is given by

$$l = l(0) \pm \int_0^t v(\xi) \, d\xi,$$
 (12)

where signs '+' and '-' correspond to ascending and descending respectively, and l(0) is the initial length.

In order to describe the longitudinal oscillations of the cable two frames of reference are established: a coordinate system O_1xy attached to and moving with the upper end of the cable, and a stationary system OXY. The position of a given point P on the cable during its motion defined in the moving frame is given as

$$x(s,t) = s + u(s,t), \qquad (13)$$

where s denotes Lagrangian coordinate of the point measured from O_1 in the initial strained state, u represents the longitudinal dynamic deflection from the initial reference state, and observed in the moving frame. An absolute position of point P is determined by Eulerian coordinate X in the non-moving frame as

$$X(s,t) = x(s,t) - l, \qquad (14)$$

and the velocity of a cable particle P is

$$V(s,t) = \frac{dX}{dt} = \dot{u}(s,t) \mp v(t), \qquad (15)$$

where the signs '- and '+ correspond to ascending and descending, respectively.

Assuming that dynamic deflections of section OO_1 of the cable can be neglected, the kinetic energy of the system is expressed as follows

$$E(\dot{u}, \dot{u}_M) = \frac{1}{2} \int_l^L m V^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} M V_M^2, \qquad (16)$$

where L denotes the total cable length in the initial state, $u_M = u(L, t)$, and $V_M = V(L, t)$.

The elastic strain energy of the cable is

$$\Pi_{e}(\epsilon) = \Pi_{e}^{i} + \int_{l}^{L} \left(T^{i} + \frac{1}{2}EA\epsilon\right)\epsilon \ ds, \qquad (17)$$

where $\epsilon = u_{,s}$ is the strain measure, Π_e^i is the strain energy in the initial state, and T^i is the cable tension in the initial state.

The gravitational potential energy of the cable expressed in terms of the dynamic deflections is given by

$$\Pi_g(u, u_M) = -\int_l^L mgu\,ds - M\,gu_M. \qquad (18)$$

Using Hamilton's principle

$$\delta\left\{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(E - \Pi_e - \Pi_g\right) dt\right\} = 0,$$
 (19)

the following equation for the deflection from the initial static equilibrium configuration results

$$m\ddot{u} - EAu_{,ss} = ml, \ l < s < L, \ 0 \le t < \infty.$$
(20)

In order to formulate the boundary conditions it is relevant to consider a mechanism employed to implement the coiling process. Typically a repetitive coiling pattern during a winding cycle in hoist systems is achieved via a symmetrical 180° Lebus liner.⁴ In this mechanism the winder drum surface is covered by parallel circular grooves with two diametrically opposed cross-over zones per drum circumference, as shown in Figure 2. Each zone offsets the grooves by half a cable diameter and when the cable passes through a cross-over an additional axial displacement relative to the nominal transport motion occurs. The magnitude of this displacement is calculated as the difference between the arc length traversed through the cross-over and the corresponding diametrical arc^5

$$u_0 = \sqrt{(R\alpha)^2 + \frac{d^2}{4} - R\alpha},$$
 (21)

where R is the drum radius, d represents the cable diameter, and α is the angle defining the diametrical arc corresponding to the cross-over region. As the cross-over occurs twice per drum revolution, a periodic boundary excitation results that can be represented by the following boundary condition

$$u(l,t) = u_0 \cos \Omega t, \qquad (22)$$

where $\Omega = 2v/R$. The boundary condition at s = L is the equation of motion of the end mass

$$M\ddot{u}(L,t) + EAu_{s}(L,t) = Ml.$$
⁽²³⁾



Figure 2 Cross-over zones of a Lebus Liner

Treating the concentrated end mass M as an inertial load, and using the substitution

$$u(s,t) = U(s,t) + u_0 \cos \Omega t, \qquad (24)$$

the following equation of motion results

$$\rho(s)\ddot{U} - EAu_{,ss} = \rho(s)\left(\ddot{l} + u_0\Omega^2\cos\Omega t\right),$$

$$l < s < L, \ 0 \le t < \infty,$$
(25)

with homogeneous boundary conditions

$$U(l,t) = 0 \tag{26}$$

$$E Au_{,s}\left(L,t\right) = 0 \tag{27}$$

where $\rho(s) = m + M\delta(s - L)$. The parameter l is timedependent, and is assumed to vary slowly. This condition agrees well with nominal parameters of a winding cycle in most industrial hoist systems. Therefore, a separate slow time scale τ can be chosen to observe the parameter variation so that $l = l(\tau)$ as indicated earlier.

4 DISCRETE MODEL AND THE MULTIPLE SCALES PROCEDURE

The discrete model is determined from equations (25)-(27) through application of the expansion defined by (5). A single-mode approximation is assumed as

$$U = Y_r(s, l) q_r(t), \qquad (28)$$

where

$$Y_r = \sin \gamma_r \left(s - l \right), \tag{29}$$

is a free-oscillation mode of the system with l being fixed, where $\gamma_r = \frac{\omega_r(l)}{c}$, with $c = \sqrt{\frac{EA}{m}}$, and $\omega_r(l)$ is the natural frequency. The slowly varying parameter γ_r is determined from the transcendental equation

$$\gamma_r \tan \gamma_r L_v = \frac{m}{M},\tag{30}$$

where $L_v = L - l$.

By applying the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure, and introducing a fast non-dimensional time scale

$$T = \omega_0 t, \qquad (31)$$

together with the slow scale $\tau = \epsilon T$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, where $\omega_0 = \omega_r(l(0))$, the following equation is obtained

$$\frac{d^{2}q_{r}}{dT^{2}} + \widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2}(\tau) q_{r} = \epsilon f_{r}\left(\tau, \frac{dq_{r}}{dT}\right) - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{m_{r}(\tau)} \times \left\{ \left[l''c_{rr}(\tau) + l'^{2}d_{rr}(\tau) \right] q_{r} + l''e_{r}(\tau) \right\} + K_{r}(\tau) \cos \widetilde{\Omega}T,$$
(32)

where
$$\tilde{\omega}_r = \frac{\omega_r}{\omega_0}$$
, $\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{\Omega}{\omega_0}$, and
 $K_r = \frac{e_r}{m} u_0 \tilde{\Omega}^2$, (33)

$$f_r\left(\tau, \frac{dq_r}{dT}\right) = -\frac{2}{m_r\left(\tau\right)} l' c_{rr}\left(\tau\right) \frac{dq_r}{dT}.$$
 (34)

The slowly varying coefficients c_{rr} , d_{rr} , e_r , and m_r are defined in the Appendix.

Following the expansion (10), the solution is sought in terms of the fast and slow scales in the form

$$q_r = q_{r0} \left(\phi_r, \tau\right) + \epsilon q_{r1} \left(\phi_r, \tau\right) + O\left(\epsilon^2\right), \qquad (35)$$

where

$$\frac{d\phi_r}{dT} = \widetilde{\omega}_r\left(\tau\right). \tag{36}$$

4.1 Non-resonant case

The non-resonant oscillations of the system take place when Ω is away from ω_r . In this case the amplitude of the excitation is assumed to be hard, and is ordered as $K_r = O(1)$ in the analysis to follow. By substituting (35) into (32) and equating the coefficients of ϵ^0 and ϵ on both sides, one obtains

$$\widetilde{\omega}_r^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_r^2} + q_{r0} \right) = K_r \cos \widetilde{\Omega} T, \qquad (37)$$

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{r1}}{\partial \phi_{r}^{2}} + q_{r1} \right) = -2\widetilde{\omega}_{r} \frac{\partial^{2} q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_{r} \partial \tau} - \widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{\prime} \frac{\partial q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_{r}} + f_{r} \left(\tau, \widetilde{\omega}_{r} \frac{\partial q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_{r}} \right).$$
(38)

The general solution of (37) in a complex form is

$$q_{r0} = A_r(\tau) e^{i\phi_r} + \overline{A}_r(\tau) e^{-i\phi_r} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{K_r(\tau)}{\widetilde{\omega}_r^2(\tau) - \widetilde{\Omega}^2} \left(e^{i\widetilde{\Omega}T} + e^{-i\widetilde{\Omega}T} \right),$$
(39)

where \overline{A}_r is the complex conjugate of A_r which is given as

$$A_r(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} a_r(\tau) e^{i\beta_r(\tau)}, \qquad (40)$$

where a_r and β_r are real. By substituting q_{r0} into (38) one obtains

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{r1}}{\partial \phi_{r}^{2}} + q_{r1} \right) &= \\ -i \left[2 \widetilde{\omega}_{r} A_{r}' + \widetilde{\omega}_{r}' A + \frac{2}{m_{r}(\tau)} l^{\prime} c_{rr} \widetilde{\omega}_{r} A_{r} \right] e^{i \phi_{r}} \\ -i \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}}{\widetilde{\omega}_{r}} K_{r} \left[\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{r}' (1 - 3 \widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2})}{\widetilde{\omega}_{r} (\widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} - 1)^{2}} + \frac{1}{2 (\widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} - \widetilde{\Omega}^{2})} \left(\widetilde{\omega}_{r}' + \frac{2}{m_{r}} l^{\prime} c_{rr} \widetilde{\omega}_{r} \right) \right] \\ \times e^{i \widetilde{\Omega} T} + cc, \end{split}$$

$$(41)$$

where cc denotes the complex conjugate of the preceding terms. The condition for the elimination of the secular terms in (41) is

$$2\widetilde{\omega}_r A'_r + \widetilde{\omega}'_r A_r + \frac{2}{m_r(\tau)} l' c_{rr} \widetilde{\omega}_r A_r = 0.$$
 (42)

Writing A_r in the polar form (40), separating the result into its real and imaginary parts, and noting that $m'_r = 2l'c_{rr}$, leads to the following result

$$a_r = a_0 \left[\frac{\omega_0 m_r(0)}{\omega_r(\tau) m_r(\tau)} \right]^{1/2}, \qquad (43)$$
$$\beta_r = \beta_0,$$

where $a_0 = a_r(0)$, and $\beta_0 = \beta_r(0)$ are constants. Therefore, for the first approximation the solution of (32) is given as

$$q_{r} = a_{0} \left[\frac{\omega_{0} m_{r}(0)}{\omega_{r}(\tau) m_{r}(\tau)} \right]^{1/2} \cos \left(\phi_{r} + \beta_{0}\right) + \frac{K_{r}(\tau)}{\widetilde{\omega_{r}^{2}(\tau) - \widetilde{\Omega}^{2}}} \cos \widetilde{\Omega}T + O\left(\epsilon\right),$$

$$(44)$$

where $\phi_r = \int_0^T \widetilde{\omega}_r (\epsilon T) dT$.

4.2 Resonance case

If a resonance occurs at any time in the system (32) the solution (44) becomes singular and is no longer valid. As in this case one is concerned with values of $\omega_r(\tau)$ near Ω , this nearness can be quantified by a slowly varying detuning parameter $\sigma_r(\tau)$ introduced as follows

$$\widetilde{\Omega} - \widetilde{\omega}_r \left(\tau \right) = \epsilon \sigma_r \left(\tau \right). \tag{45}$$

Therefore, when the relationship (36) is taken into account, one gets from (45)

$$\tilde{\Omega}T = \phi_r + \mathcal{V}_r(\tau), \qquad (46)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_r(\tau) = \epsilon \int_0^T \sigma_r(\epsilon T) dT$. When $\sigma_r = 0$, unbounded oscillations would be predicted for a corresponding system with constant parameters. In the actual system the oscillations are affected by the non-stationary terms on the right hand side of equation (32). Therefore, the excitation needs to be ordered so that it will appear when the non-stationary terms appear. Thus, in order to determine the first approximation one sets

$$K_r = 2\epsilon k_r, \tag{47}$$

so that $K_r = O(\epsilon)$. By substituting (35) into (32) and by equating the coefficients of ϵ^0 and ϵ on both sides, the following results

$$\widetilde{\omega}_r^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_r^2} + q_{r0} \right) = 0 \tag{48}$$

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{2} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} q_{r1}}{\partial \phi_{r}^{2}} + q_{r1} \right) = 2 \widetilde{\omega}_{r} \frac{\partial^{2} q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_{r} \partial \tau} - \widetilde{\omega}_{r}^{\prime} \frac{\partial q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_{r}} + f_{r} \left(\tau, \widetilde{\omega}_{r} \frac{\partial q_{r0}}{\partial \phi_{r}} \right) + 2k_{r} \cos \widetilde{\Omega} T.$$

$$\tag{49}$$

In this case the first approximation, given as the general solution of (48), is

$$q_{r0} = A_r(\tau) e^{i\phi_r} + \overline{A}_r(\tau) e^{-i\phi_r}, \qquad (50)$$

where $A_r(\tau)$ has the form of (40), and will be determined by eliminating the secular terms from the particular solution of (49). Using (50) and (46) in (49), the following solvability condition results:

$$-i\left[2\widetilde{\omega}_{r}A_{r}'+\widetilde{\omega}_{r}'A_{r}+\frac{2}{m_{r}(\tau)}l'c_{rr}\widetilde{\omega}_{r}A_{r}\right]+k_{r}e^{i\mathcal{V}_{r}}=0$$
(51)

By expressing A_r in the polar form, separating the result into its real and imaginary parts, and also denoting

$$\psi_r = \mathcal{V}_r - \beta_r, \tag{52}$$

one obtains the following set

$$a'_{r} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\widetilde{\omega}'_{r}}{\widetilde{\omega}_{r}} + \frac{m'_{r}}{m_{r}} \right) a_{r} + \frac{k_{r} (\tau)}{\widetilde{\omega}_{r}} \sin \psi_{r}, \qquad (53)$$

$$\psi_r' = \sigma_r(\tau) + \frac{k_r(\tau)}{a_r \tilde{\omega}_r} \cos \psi_r.$$
 (54)

The first approximation to the resonant solution is given by

$$q_r = a_r \cos\left(\tilde{\Omega}T - \psi_r\right) + O\left(\epsilon\right), \qquad (55)$$

where a_r and ψ_r are given by (53) and (54).

5 NUMERICAL EXAMPLE AND RESULTS

The system evolution through resonance can be analysed through solving the set of equations (53)-(54). These are autonomous ordinary differential equations with variable coefficients to be determined numerically. The set does not easily lend itself to an analytical solution, and a numerical solution for the amplitude a_r and the phase ψ_r is sought. The following system parameters have been assumed in calculations: M = 4500 kg, L = 500 m = 8.4 kg/m, $A = 0.001028 \text{ m}^2$, $E = 1.1 \times 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2$, d = 0.048 m, R = 2.14 m, $\alpha = 0.2$ rad. A transition through fundamental resonance is investigated when the frequency Ω of the excitation is near the first longitudinal natural frequency ω_1 of the cable. The natural frequency is computed from the transcendental equation (30), and is plotted against the vertical length L_v in Figure 3. During the ascending constant velocity phase the length parameter l is obtained from (12) as

$$l = l(0) + v_c t, \tag{56}$$

where v_c denotes the nominal winding velocity. Assuming l(0) = 0, and introducing the slow time scale, the length parameter is given as

$$l = L\tau \tag{57}$$

where $\tau = \epsilon T$, with

$$\epsilon = \frac{v_c}{\omega_0 L}.\tag{58}$$

The system (53)-(54) is then integrated numerically using MATLAB implementation of the Runge-Kutta method. The response amplitude against slow time curves for three winding velocities $v_{c1} = 8 \text{ m/s}$, $v_{c2} = 12 \text{ m/s}$, and $v_{c3} = 16 \text{ m/s}$ are presented in Figure 4, where the initial conditions are assumed as $a_1(O) = 0.001$, and $\psi_1(0) = 0$. The corresponding non-stationary frequencyresponse curves are shown in Figure 5. As can be seen, during the ascending motion the detuning parameter σ decreases when making a single slow passage through





R & D Journal, 1997, 13(1) 37







Figure 6 Time response of mass M

R & D Journal, 1997, 13(1) 38

zero. The amplitudes exhibit oscillatory behaviour before the resonance, and near the resonance ($\sigma(\tau) \approx 0$) the amplitudes increase rapidly and develop beat phenomena afterwards, growing later at a slow rate.

The single-mode approximation (28) assumes the form

$$U(s,t) = a_1 \cos\left(\Omega t - \psi_1\right) \sin\frac{\omega_1}{c} \left(s - l\right) + O(\epsilon), \quad (59)$$

and the corresponding dynamic deflection u is expressed as

$$u(s,t) = a_1 \cos\left(\Omega t - \psi_1\right) \sin\frac{\omega_1}{c} (s-l) + u_0 \cos\Omega t + O(\epsilon).$$
(60)

The time response plots of mass $u_M = u(L,t)$, calculated from (60) for the winding velocities v_{c1} , v_{c2} , and v_{c3} , are shown in Figure 6. The passage through resonance can be observed on the plots. As one can see, the response grows and remains large after the resonance. This phenomenon can be observed more clearly in Figure 5. For instance, for the winding velocity of 8 m/s the amplitude jumps to the value of approximately 0.033 m shortly after resonance, and later oscillates about the level of 0.03 m. The phenomenon where the amplitude of the oscillations remains large after the transition through resonance is typical for systems with slowly varying frequencies, and has also been recorded by others,^{13,15} for example.

The accuracy of the first approximation (55), where a_r and ψ_r , are given by (53)-(54), can be verified by numerically integrating the original differential equation (32) derived via the Rayleigh-Ritz procedure. The solutions for q_1 with the winding velocity $v_c = 8$ m/s, obtained for the same initial conditions through a numerical integration of (32) and from the approximation (55), respectively, are superimposed in Figure 7. It can be seen that the difference between the solutions is negligible.

The primary reason for solving the problem through a perturbation method are difficulties in direct numerical integration of the original differential equations. The integration procedure is time consuming for small values of ϵ . For example, to solve the problem in the interval $\tau \in [0.0, 0.85]$ for a value of $v_c = 8$ m/s, with a corresponding value of the small parameter $\epsilon = 0.0026$, requires an integration to a time of 326.97 on the nondimensional fast time scale T. Using the MATLAB C language MEX-file version of function ODE45 with a relative error tolerance of 1.0×10^{-12} it takes over 62 minutes to complete the calculations on an a Pentium 100 Personal Computer. Also, it is difficult to integrate the generalized coordinate q_r directly over long times as it is a rapidly oscillating function, and the procedure may yield inaccurate results. On the other hand, the

slowly varying amplitude a_r and phase ψ_r can be obtained from (53)-(54) without difficulty. It has been found that it takes less than five minutes to integrate functions a_1 and ψ_1 from the perturbation-generated system (53)-(54) with a satisfactory accuracy over the same time interval.



Figure 7 Comparison of the Perturbation solution to the Numerical solution

6 CONCLUSION

A hoisting cable system represents an oscillatory system with slowly varying natural frequencies and mode shapes. If a periodic excitation is present, due to a coiling mechanism applied at the winding drum for example, a passage through resonance may take place during a winding cycle. A thorough dynamic analysis is required in order to predict the stability and dynamic loads in the cable. The system is however non-stationary and classical analytical methods for the response analysis cannot be applied. Direct numerical integration of the discrete model of the system, obtained via the Rayleigh-Ritz method for example, is time consuming and may yield inaccurate results. Therefore, a combined numerical and perturbation technique is proposed to determine the first order approximation of the system response. The procedure is illustrated by application of this technique to a single-mode model of the hoisting cable. The multiple scale method is used to obtain a system of first order ordinary differential equations for the amplitude and phase of the response. These are slowly varying functions and the system can be solved numerically without difficulty. A model example is solved to investigate transient resonance in the system when the frequency of the excitation coincides with the first natural frequency at some critical time instant. The nonstationary frequency-response curves demonstrate the passage through resonance. The amplitude oscillates slowly before resonance and increases rapidly near the resonance, remaining large afterwards.

References

- 1. Savin GN & Goroshko 0A 1971. Introduction to mechanics of one-dimensional bodies with variable length. Naukova Dumka, Kiev (in Russian).
- Kotera T 1978. Vibrations of string with timevarying length. Bulletin of the JSME, 21, pp.1469-1474.
- Greenway ME 1989. Dynamic loads in winding ropes – an analytical approach. Internal Report, Anglo American Corporation, Johannesburg.
- 4. Mankowski RR 1982. A study of non-linear vibrations occurring in mine hoisting cables. University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- 5. Constancon CP 1993. The dynamics of mine hoist catenaries. PhD Dissertation, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg.
- 6. Kumaniecka A & Niziol J 1994. Dynamic stability of a rope with slow variability of the parameters. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 178, pp.211-226.
- 7. Mitropolsky YA 1965. Problems of the asymptotic theory in non-stationary vibrations. Israel Program for Scientific Translations Ltd.
- 8. Agrawal BN & Evan-Iwanowski RM 1973. Resonances in non-stationary, non-linear, multi degreeof-freedom systems. *AIAA Journal*, **11**, pp.907-912.
- 9. Evan-Iwanowski RM 1976. Resonance oscillations in mechanical systems. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 10. Nayfeh AH 1973. Perturbation methods. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 11. Kevorkian J 1971. Passage through resonance for a one-dimensional oscillator with slowly varying frequency. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics, 20, pp.364-373.
- Kevorkian J 1987. Perturbation techniques for oscillatory systems with slowly varying coefficients. SIAM Review, 29, pp.391-461.
- 13. Bosley DL & Kevorkian J 1992. Adiabatic invariance and transient resonance in very slowly varying oscillatory Hamiltonian systems. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics, 52, pp.494-527.
- 14. Nayfeh AH & Mook DT 1979. Nonlinear oscillations, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

15. Kevorkian J 1974. Erratum: Passage through resonance for a one-dimensional oscillator with slowly varying frequency. SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics, 26, p.686.

APPENDIX

The slowly varying coefficients appearing in the system (32) result from the application of the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Using $D(\tau) = \{s : l(\tau) < s < L\}$, setting n = r, and deleting index k in equation (8), the parameters c_{rr} and d_{rr} are defined by

$$c_{rr}(\tau) = \int_{l}^{L} \rho(s) Y_{r} \frac{\partial Y_{r}}{\partial l} ds, \qquad (61)$$

$$d_{rr}(\tau) = \int_{l}^{L} \rho(s) Y_{r} \frac{\partial^{2} Y_{r}}{\partial l^{2}} ds, \qquad (62)$$

where the mode function Y_r is defined by (29), and the partial derivatives of Y_r with respect to l are determined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial Y_r}{\partial l} = \left[\frac{\partial \gamma_r}{\partial l} \left(s-l\right) - \gamma_r\right] \cos \gamma_r \left(s-l\right), \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Y_r}{\partial l^2} = \left[\frac{\partial^2 \gamma_r}{\partial l^2} \left(s-l\right) - 2\frac{\partial \gamma_r}{\partial l}\right] \cos \gamma_r \left(s-l\right) - \left[\frac{\partial \gamma_r}{\partial l} \left(s-l\right) - \gamma_r\right]^2 \sin \gamma_r \left(s-l\right).$$
(64)

The derivatives of the eigenvalue γ_r with respect to l are obtained through differentiation of the frequency equation (30) which yields

$$\frac{\partial \gamma_r}{\partial l} = -\frac{2m\cos^2\gamma_r L_v}{M L_v \left(\sin 2\gamma_r L_v + 2\gamma_r L_v\right)} + \frac{\gamma_r}{L_v},\qquad(65)$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \gamma_{r}}{\partial l^{2}} = -\frac{2m}{M L_{\nu}^{2} (\sin 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu} + 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu})^{2}} \\ \cdot \begin{cases} 2 \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{r}}{\partial l} L_{\nu}^{2} - 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu} \right) - L_{\nu} \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{r}}{\partial l} L_{\nu} - \gamma_{r} \right) \\ (\sin 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu} + 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu}) \sin 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu} + \\ -\cos^{2} \gamma_{r} L_{\nu} [2L_{\nu} \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{r}}{\partial l} L_{\nu} - \gamma_{r} \right) \cos 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu} \\ -\sin 2\gamma_{r} L_{\nu} \\ + \frac{1}{L_{\nu}^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{r}}{\partial l} L_{\nu} - \gamma_{r} \right). \end{cases}$$
(66)

The remaining coefficients in Eq. (32) are as follows

$$e_{r} = \int_{l}^{L} \rho(s) Y_{r} ds, \qquad (67)$$

$$m_r = \int_l^L \rho(s) Y_r^2 ds.$$
 (68)